CS152: Programming Languages Lecture 20 — Synchronous Message-Passing and Concurrent ML Dan Grossman Spring 2011	<ul> <li>Message Passing</li> <li>Threads communicate via send and receive along channels instead of read and write of references</li> <li>Not so different? (can implement one on top of the other)</li> <li>Synchronous message-passing <ul> <li>Block until communication takes place</li> <li>Encode asynchronous by "spawn someone who blocks"</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	Dan Grossman CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture 20 2
<ul> <li>CML is synchronous message-passing with <i>first-class</i> synchronization events</li> <li>Can wrap synchronization abstractions to make new ones</li> <li>At run-time</li> <li>Originally done for ML and fits well with lambdas, type-system, and implementation techniques, but more widely applicable</li> <li>Available in DrScheme, Caml, Haskell,</li> <li>In my opinion, very elegant and under-appreciated</li> <li>Think of threads as very lightweight</li> <li>Creation/space cost about like a function call</li> </ul>	<pre>The Basics type 'a channel (* messages passed on channels *) val new_channel : unit -&gt; 'a channel type 'a event (* when sync'ed on, get an 'a *) val send : 'a channel -&gt; 'a -&gt; unit event val receive : 'a channel -&gt; 'a event val sync : 'a event -&gt; 'a • Send and receive return "events" immediately • Sync blocks until "the event happens" • Separating these is key in a few slides</pre>
Dan Grossman CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture 20 3	Dan Grossman CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture 20 4
<pre>Simple version Can define helper functions by trival composition: let sendNow ch a = sync (send ch a) (* block *) let recvNow ch = sync (receive ch) (* block *) "Who communicates" is up to the CML implementation • Can be nondeterministic when there are multiple senders/receivers on the same channel • Implementation needs collection of waiting senders xor receivers Terminology note: • I am using the function names in Caml's Event library. • In SML, the CML book, etc.:     send ~&gt; sendEvt sendNow ~&gt; send     receive ~&gt; recvEvt recvNow ~&gt; recv</pre>	<ul> <li>Bank Account Example</li> <li>First version: In/out channels are only access to private reference <ul> <li>In channel of type action channel</li> <li>Out channel of type float channel</li> </ul> </li> <li>Second version: Makes functional programmers smile <ul> <li>State can be argument to a recursive function</li> <li>"Loop-carried"</li> </ul> </li> <li>Hints at deep connection between references and channels <ul> <li>Can implement the reference abstraction in CML</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Dan Grossman CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture 20 5	Dan Grossman CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture 20 6

The Interface	Streams
The real point of the example is that you can abstract all the threading and communication away from clients:	Another pattern/concept easy to code up in CML is a <i>stream</i> <ul> <li>An infinite sequence of values, produced lazily ("on demand")</li> </ul>
<pre>type acct val mkAcct : unit -&gt; acct val get : acct -&gt; float -&gt; float val put : acct -&gt; float -&gt; float Hidden thread communcation: mkAcct makes a thread (the "this account server") get and put make the server go around the loop once Races naturally avoided: the server handles one request at a time CML implementation has queues for waiting communications</pre>	<ul> <li>Example in lec20.ml: square numbers</li> <li>Standard more complicated example: A network of streams for producing prime numbers. One approach: <ul> <li>First stream generates 2, 3, 4,</li> <li>When the last stream generates a number p, return it and dynamically add a stream as the new last stream</li> <li>Draws input from old last stream but outputs only those that are not divisible by p</li> </ul> </li> <li>Streams also: <ul> <li>Have deep connections to circuits</li> <li>Are easy to code up in Haskell</li> <li>Are a key abstraction in real-time data processing</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Dan Grossman CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture 20 7	Dan Grossman CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture 20
<ul> <li>Wanting choice</li> <li>So far just used sendNow and recvNow, hidden behind simple interfaces</li> <li>But these block until the rendezvous, which is insufficient for many important communication patterns</li> <li>Example: add : int channel -&gt; int channel -&gt; int</li> <li>Must choose which to receive first; hurting performance if other provider ready earlier</li> <li>Example: or : bool channel -&gt; bool channel -&gt; bool</li> <li>Cannot short-circuit</li> <li>This is why we split out sync and have other primitives</li> </ul>	<pre>Choose and Wrap type 'a event (* when sync'ed on, get an 'a *) val send : 'a channel -&gt; 'a -&gt; unit event val receive : 'a channel -&gt; 'a event val sync : 'a event -&gt; 'a val choose : 'a event list -&gt; 'a event val wrap : 'a event -&gt; ('a -&gt; 'b) -&gt; 'b event • choose: when synchronized on, block until one of the events happen (cf. UNIX select, but more useful to have sync separate) • wrap: an event with the function as post-processing • Can wrap as many times as you want Note: Skipping a couple other key primitives (e.g., withNack for timeouts)</pre>
Dan Grossman CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture 20 9	Dan Grossman CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture 20
Circuits	What can't you do

## Circuits

Dan Grossman

To an electrical engineer:

- send and receive are ends of a gate
- wrap is combinational logic connected to a gate
- choose is a multiplexer
- sync is getting a result out

To a programming-language person:

- Build up a data structure describing a communication protocol
- Make it a first-class value that can be by passed to sync
- Provide events in interfaces so other libraries can compose larger abstractions

CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture 20

## What can't you do

Dan Grossman

 $\mathsf{CML}$  is by-design for point-to-point communication

 Provably impossible to do things like 3-way swap (without busy-waiting or higher-level protocols) 10

- Related to issues of common-knowledge, especially in a distributed setting
- Metamoral: Being a broad computer scientist is really useful

S152 Spring 2011, Lecture 2

## A note on implementation and paradigms

CML encourages using lots (100,000s) of threads

• Example: X Window library with one thread per widget

Threads should be cheap to support this paradigm

- SML N/J: about as expensive as making a closure! (See hw3)
  - Think "current stack" plus a few words
  - Cost no time when blocked on a channel (dormant)
- ► Caml: Not cheap, unfortunately

A thread responding to channels is a lot like an *asynchronous object* (cf. *actors*)

CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture 20

13

And OOP is next

Dan Gr