CS152: Programming Languages

Lecture 5 — Pseudo-Denotational Semantics

Dan Grossman Spring 2011

A different approach

Operational semantics defines an interpreter, from abstract syntax to abstract syntax. Metalanguage is inference rules (slides) or Caml (interp.ml)

Denotational semantics defines a compiler (translater), from abstract syntax to a different language with known semantics

Target language is math, but we'll make it a tiny core of Caml (hence "pseudo")

Metalanguage is math or Caml (we'll show both)

Dan Grossman

2S152 Spring 2011, Lecture 5

The basic idea

A heap is a math/ML function from strings to integers:

$$string \rightarrow int$$

An expression denotes a math/ML function from heaps to integers

$$den(e): (string \rightarrow int) \rightarrow int$$

A statement denotes a math/ML function from heaps to heaps

$$den(s): (string \rightarrow int) \rightarrow (string \rightarrow int)$$

Now just define den in our metalanguage (math or ML), inductively over the source language abstract syntax

Dan Grossman

CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture 5

Expressions

$$den(e): (string \rightarrow int) \rightarrow int$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} den(c) & = & \text{fun h } \rightarrow \text{ c} \\ den(x) & = & \text{fun h } \rightarrow \text{ h } x \\ den(e_1 + e_2) & = & \text{fun h } \rightarrow \text{ } (den(e_1) \text{ h}) + \text{ } (den(e_2) \text{ h}) \\ den(e_1 * e_2) & = & \text{fun h } \rightarrow \text{ } (den(e_1) \text{ h}) * \text{ } (den(e_2) \text{ h}) \end{array}$$

In plus (and times) case, two "ambiguities":

- "+" from meta language or target language?
 - ► Translate abstract + to Caml +, (ignoring overflow)
- ▶ When do we denote e_1 and e_2 ?
 - ▶ Not a focus of the metalanguage. At "compile time".

3

S152 Spring 2011, Lectur

Switching metalanguage

With Caml as our metalanguage, ambiguities go away

But it's harder to distinguish mentally between "target" and "meta"

If denote in function body, then source is "around at run time"

- After translation, should be able to "remove" the definition of the abstract syntax
- ML doesn't have such a feature, but the point is we no longer need the abstract syntax

See denote.ml

Statements, w/o while

$$den(s): (string \rightarrow int) \rightarrow (string \rightarrow int)$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} den(\mathsf{skip}) &=& \mathsf{fun} \; \mathsf{h} \; \to \mathsf{h} \\ den(x := e) &=& \\ & \mathsf{fun} \; \mathsf{h} \; \to \mathsf{(fun} \; \mathsf{v} \; \to \mathsf{if} \; x = \mathsf{v} \; \mathsf{then} \; den(e) \; \mathsf{h} \; \mathsf{else} \; \mathsf{h} \; \mathsf{v}) \\ den(s_1; s_2) &=& \mathsf{fun} \; \mathsf{h} \; \to \; den(s_2) \; (den(s_1) \; \mathsf{h}) \\ den(\mathsf{if} \; e \; s_1 \; s_2) &=& \\ \mathsf{fun} \; \mathsf{h} \; \to \; \mathsf{if} \; den(e) \; \mathsf{h} \; \to \; \mathsf{0} \; \mathsf{then} \; den(s_1) \; \mathsf{h} \; \mathsf{else} \; den(s_2) \; \mathsf{h} \end{array}$$

Same ambiguities; same answers

See denote.ml

Oan Grossman CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture 5

n Grossman CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture

While

```
\begin{array}{llll} den(\mbox{while } e \ s) & = & | \ \mbox{While}(\mbox{e}, s) \ -> \\ \mbox{let rec f h =} & & \mbox{let d1=denote\_exp e in} \\ \mbox{if } (den(e) \ h) > 0 & \mbox{let d2=denote\_stmt s in} \\ \mbox{then f } (den(s) \ h) & \mbox{let rec f h =} \\ \mbox{else h in} & \mbox{if } (d1 \ h) > 0 \\ \mbox{f then f } (d2 \ h) \\ \mbox{else h in} & \mbox{f} \end{array}
```

The function denoting a while statement is inherently recursive!

Good thing our target language has recursive functions!

Why doesn't $den(\text{while } e\ s) = den(\text{if } e\ (s; \text{while } e\ s)\ \text{skip})$ make any sense?

Dan Grossman

CS152 Spring 2011 Lecture 5

Two common mistakes

A denotational semantics should "eagerly" translate the entire program

▶ E.g., both branches of an if

But a denotational semantics should "terminate"

- ▶ I.e., avoid any circular definitions in the translating
- ► The *result* of the translation can use (well-founded) recursion
- E.g., compiling a while-loop should not produce an infinite amount of code

Dan Grossma

S152 Spring 2011, Lecture

Finishing the story

```
let denote_prog s =
let d = denote_stmt s in
fun () -> (d (fun x -> 0)) "ans"
```

Compile-time: let x = denote_prog (parse file).

Run-time: print_int (x ()).

In-between: We have a Caml program using only functions, variables, ifs, constants, +, *, >, etc.

▶ Doesn't use any constructors of exp or stmt (e.g., Seq)

The real story

For "real" denotational semantics, target language is math

(And we write [s] instead of den(s))

Example: $[x := e][H] = [H][x \mapsto [e][H]]$

There are two major problems, both due to while:

- Math functions do not diverge, so no function denotes while 1 skip
- 2. The denotation of loops cannot be circular

n Grossman CS152 Spring 2011, Lectu

Dan Grossman

CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture

The elevator version, which we will not pursue

For (1), we "lift" the semantic domains to include a special \bot $den(s): (string \to int) \to ((string \to int) \cup \bot)$

▶ Have to change meaning of $den(s_2) \circ den(s_1)$ appropriately.

For (2), we use **while** e s to define a (meta)function f that given a lifted heap-transformer X produces a lifted heap-transformer X':

- ▶ If den(e)(den(H)) = 0, then den(H)
- ▶ Else $den(s) \circ X$

Now let $den(\mathbf{while}\ e\ s)$ be the least fixed-point of f

- ► An hour of math to prove the least fixed-point exists
- Another hour to prove it's the limit of starting with \bot and applying f over and over (i.e., any number of loop iterations)
- Keywords: monotonic functions, complete partial orders, Knaster-Tarski theorem

Where we are

- Have seen operational and denotational semantics
- Connection to interpreters and compilers
- Useful for rigorous definitions and proving properties
- Next: Equivalence of semantics
 - Crucial for compiler writers
 - ► Crucial for code maintainers
- ▶ Then: Leave IMP behind and consider functions

But first: Will any of this help write an O/S service?

Dan Grossman CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture 5 11 Dan Grossman CS152 Spring 2011, Lecture 5