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## Today, we will learn about

- Type inference
- Type-checking vs type-inference
- Constraint-based typing
- Unification


## Type annotations

## Type inference

- Infer (or reconstruct) the types of a program
- Example: $\lambda a . \lambda b$. $\lambda c$. if $a(b+1)$ then $b$ else $c$


## Constraint-based Type Inference

- Type variables $X, Y, Z, \ldots$ : placeholders for types.
- Judgment「トe: $\tau \triangleright C$
- Expression e has type $\tau$ provided every constraint in set $C$ is satisfied
- Constraints are of the form $\tau_{1} \equiv \tau_{2}$


## Language

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e::=x|\lambda x: \tau . e| e_{1} e_{2}|n| e_{1}+e_{2} \\
& \tau::=\text { int }|X| \tau_{1} \rightarrow \tau_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Inference rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CT-VAR } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x: \tau \triangleright \emptyset}{} x: \tau \in \Gamma \\
& \text { CT-INT } \frac{\Gamma \vdash n: \text { int } \triangleright \emptyset}{\Gamma \vdash}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{CT}-\mathrm{ADD} \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_{1}: \tau_{1} \triangleright C_{1} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_{2}: \tau_{2} \triangleright C_{2}}{\Gamma \vdash e_{1}+e_{2}: \mathbf{i n t} \triangleright C_{1} \cup C_{2} \cup\left\{\tau_{1} \equiv \mathbf{i n t}, \tau_{2} \equiv \mathbf{i n t}\right\}}
$$

## Inference rules, ctd.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { CT-ABS } \frac{\Gamma, x: \tau_{1} \vdash e: \tau_{2} \triangleright C}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: \tau_{1} \cdot e: \tau_{1} \rightarrow \tau_{2} \triangleright C} \\
\Gamma \vdash e_{1}: \tau_{1} \triangleright C_{1} \\
\Gamma \vdash e_{2}: \tau_{2} \triangleright C_{2} \\
\text { CT-App } \frac{C^{\prime}=C_{1} \cup C_{2} \cup\left\{\tau_{1} \equiv \tau_{2} \rightarrow X\right\}}{\Gamma \vdash e_{1} e_{2}: X \triangleright C^{\prime}} X \text { is fresh }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example

## Unification

- What does it mean for a set of constraints to be satisfied?
- How do we find a solution to a set of constraints (i.e., infer the types)?
- To answer these questions: we define type substitutions and unification


## Type subsitutions (aka substitutions)

- Map from type variables to types
- Substitution of type variables, formally:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(X) & = \begin{cases}\tau & \text { if } X \mapsto \tau \in \sigma \\
X & \text { if } X \text { not in the domain of } \sigma\end{cases} \\
\sigma(\text { int }) & =\text { int } \\
\sigma\left(\tau \rightarrow \tau^{\prime}\right) & =\sigma(\tau) \rightarrow \sigma\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Substitution in constraints

- Extended to substitution of constraints, and set of constrains:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(\tau_{1} \equiv \tau_{2}\right) & =\sigma\left(\tau_{1}\right) \equiv \sigma\left(\tau_{2}\right) \\
\sigma(C) & =\{\sigma(c) \mid c \in C\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Unification

- Constraints are of form $\tau_{1} \equiv \tau_{2}$
- Substitution $\sigma$ unifies $\tau_{1} \equiv \tau_{2}$ if $\sigma\left(\tau_{1}\right)$ is the same as $\sigma\left(\tau_{2}\right)$
- Substitution $\sigma$ unifies (or satisfies) set of constraints $C$ if it unifies every constraint in $C$
- So given $\vdash e: \tau \triangleright C$, want substitution $\sigma$ that unifies $C$
- Moreover, type of $e$ is $\sigma(\tau)$


## Unification algorithm

$$
\operatorname{unify}(C)=\sigma
$$

[]

## $\operatorname{unify}\left(\left\{\tau \equiv \tau^{\prime}\right\} \cup C\right)$

if $\tau=\tau^{\prime}$ then
unify (C)
else if $\tau=X$ and $X$ not a free variable of $\tau^{\prime}$ then

$$
\text { let } \sigma=\left[X \mapsto \tau^{\prime}\right] \text { in }
$$

$$
\operatorname{unify}(\sigma(C)) \circ \sigma
$$

else if $\tau^{\prime}=X$ and $X$ not a free variable of $\tau$ then

$$
\text { let } \sigma=[X \mapsto \tau] \text { in }
$$

$$
\operatorname{unify}(\sigma(C)) \circ \sigma
$$

else if $\tau=\tau_{o} \rightarrow \tau_{1}$ and $\tau^{\prime}=\tau_{o}^{\prime} \rightarrow \tau_{1}^{\prime}$ then

$$
\operatorname{unify}\left(C \cup\left\{\tau_{0} \equiv \tau_{0}^{\prime}, \tau_{1} \equiv \tau_{1}^{\prime}\right\}\right)
$$

else fail

