

CS 125 ALGORITHMS & COMPLEXITY — Fall 2016

PROBLEM SET 1

Due: 11:59pm, Friday, September 9th

See homework submission instructions at <http://seas.harvard.edu/~cs125/fall16/schedule.htm>

Problem 5 is worth one-third of this problem set, and problems 1-4 constitute the remaining two-thirds.

Problem 1

Indicate for each pair of expressions (A, B) in the table below the relationship between A and B . Your answer should be in the form of a table with a “yes” or “no” written in each box. For example, if A is $O(B)$, then you should put a “yes” in the first box. If the base of a logarithm is not specified, you should assume it is base-2.

| A | B | O | o | Ω | ω | Θ |
|----------------|-----------------|-----|-----|----------|----------|----------|
| $\log_2 n$ | $\log_3 n$ | | | | | |
| $\log \log n$ | $\sqrt{\log n}$ | | | | | |
| $2^{\log^7 n}$ | n^7 | | | | | |
| $n^2 2^n$ | 3^n | | | | | |
| $n!$ | n^n | | | | | |
| $\log(n!)$ | $\log(n^n)$ | | | | | |
| $(n^2)!$ | n^n | | | | | |
| $(n!)^2$ | n^n | | | | | |

Problem 2

In many applications of sorting, the input is not just a list of numbers to be sorted, but rather a list of items, each of which has a *sort key* k_i (which is a number) and a *data payload* d_i (which comes from an arbitrary set). The task is to sort the items according to the sort key. (This is like sorting a spreadsheet by a particular column.) Formally, given an input $(k_1, d_1), \dots, (k_n, d_n)$ where each $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$, a sorting algorithm should produce a sequence $(k'_1, d'_1), \dots, (k'_n, d'_n)$ such that (1) $k'_1 \leq k'_2 \leq \dots \leq k'_n$, and (2) there is a permutation π of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that for all i , $(k'_i, d'_i) = (k_{\pi(i)}, d_{\pi(i)})$.

- (a) (3 points) Show how to extend counting sort to solve the above task, sorting in time $O(n + M)$ assuming all of the sort keys are in the range $[0, M)$. Your algorithm should work even if there are repetitions among the sort keys. You can assume that copying of data items d_i can be done in unit time.

- (b) (3 points) Show how to ensure that your algorithm is *stable*, in the sense that it does not reorder items with the same sort key. Formally, if $k_i = k_j$ for some $i < j$, then $\pi(i) < \pi(j)$.
- (c) (4 points) Another sorting algorithm that can work in $o(n \log n)$ time is Radix Sort. Radix sort works as follows, on numbers represented in binary.
- i. Start with the *last* b bits of the numbers. Use your version of counting sort from part (b) to sort the numbers using the last b bits as the sort key.
 - ii. Continue from right to left looking at the next b bits of the numbers, and sort based on those bits along using counting sort.
 - iii. Continue this repeated sorting including through the first b bits.

Argue that if you use $b = \log_2 n$ and you are sorting n numbers in the range $[0, n^j)$ for some constant j that the total time taken by radix sort is $O(n)$. (Here we assume, as we did in class, that our machine can manipulate numbers of $\log_2 n$ bits with unit cost operations – so that, for example, it can cope with an array of n numbers.) As part of your proof, explain why you need the intermediate sorting steps to be stable.

Problem 3

In class we showed how to speed up integer multiplication via a divide-and-conquer approach: equipartitioning the digits of each of x and y into two sets, then doing three recursive multiplications followed by some insertions and subtractions (Karatsuba's algorithm). The overall runtime was $O(n^{\log_2 3})$. In this problem we will develop a similar, but faster, approach.

In order to speed up integer multiplication, we will first take a slight detour. Let us first consider the problem of solving a system of n linear equations with n variables x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} . Thus the input is $n^2 + n$ numbers $\{a_{i,j}\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$. These represent the n equations $a_{i,0}x_0 + \dots + a_{i,n-1}x_{n-1} + a_{i,n} = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Consider the following pseudocode for a function `SOLVE()`, which solves for the n variables assuming that there is a unique solution. The input is a doubly-indexed array `A` with `A[i][j]` representing $a_{i,j}$ above. Below, we sometimes abuse notation and think of `A[i]` as the vector $(a_{i,0}, a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,n})$.

Algorithm SOLVE(A[0..n-1][0..n]): // coefficients for n equations, n variables

```

    // base case,  $n = 1$ , corresponds to  $a_{0,0}x_0 + a_{0,1} = 0$ 
1. if  $n = 1$ : return  $(-A[0][1]/A[0][0])$ 

    // make sure  $x_0$  has coefficient 1 in the 0th equation
2. let  $i$  be the first index with  $A[i][0] \neq 0$ ; swap  $A[i]$  with  $A[0]$ 
3.  $A[0] \leftarrow A[0]/A[0][0]$ 

    // zero out the coefficient of  $x_0$  in every equation but the 0th one
4. for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ :  $A[i] \leftarrow A[i] - A[0] \cdot A[i][0]$ 

    // recursively solve  $n - 1$  equations in  $n - 1$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$ 
5.  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \leftarrow \text{SOLVE}(A[1..n-1][1..n])$ 
6.  $x_0 \leftarrow -A[0][n] - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j \cdot A[0][j]$ 
7. return  $(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ 

```

- (a) (2 points) Let $T(n)$ denote the worst case running time of SOLVE() on n equations over n variables. Assume all basic arithmetic operations (addition, subtraction, division, and multiplication) are constant time. Write a recurrence for $T(n)$ and solve it.
- (b) (2 points) Now let us *not* assume arithmetic operations are unit cost. To implement SOLVE(), we maintain all intermediate computations explicitly as fractions, storing numerators and denominators. Suppose $a_{i,j}$ for $0 \leq i, j < n$ are L -digit integers, and the $a_{i,n}$ are each R digits. Prove that there exists a function $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that if one carried out all arithmetic operations in SOLVE() *exactly* by storing fractions explicitly as (numerator, denominator) pairs, then no intermediate numerators or denominators of $A[i][0..n-1]$ values or denominators of $A[i][n]$ values would ever require more than $f(n, L)$ digits, and no intermediate numerators of $A[i][n]$ values would ever require more than $f(n, L) \cdot R$ digits, for any A in any level of recursion. Here \mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers. Showing the existence of any such f is sufficient for full credit — you do not have to find an optimally slow-growing f . Conclude a bound on the running time of SOLVE() in terms of f, n, L, R .
- (c) (4 points) In this problem part we will finally develop a method faster than Karatsuba's algorithm for integer multiplication. Suppose we want to multiply two n -digit positive integers w, y . If $n = 1$, we simply output the answer. Otherwise, we pad w, y with leading zeroes to make n a multiple of 3. Then we write $w = p_w(10^{n/3})$ and $y = p_y(10^{n/3})$, where $p_w(z)$ is the polynomial $w_{hi} \cdot z^2 + w_{mid} \cdot z + w_{lo}$, and similarly for p_y . Here each of w_{hi}, w_{mid}, w_{lo} have $n/3$ digits. For example, if $w = 140712$ then $w_{hi} = 14$, $w_{mid} = 7$, $w_{lo} = 12$. Show how to use p_w, p_y , and SOLVE() to develop an algorithm for integer multiplication faster than Karatsuba's algorithm, and prove a bound on the running time of your method. You may use the result of part (b) even if you didn't solve it. **Not for credit:** what if you tried to break w, y into $k > 3$ parts each?

You may take for granted the fact that for any $d \geq 1$, for any distinct reals z_0, \dots, z_d , and for any (not necessarily distinct) m_0, \dots, m_d , the set of $d + 1$ linear equations

$m_j + \sum_{i=0}^d x_i z_j^i = 0$ has a unique solution. In other words, there is a unique degree- d polynomial interpolating given values $-m_j$ for any $d + 1$ distinct evaluation points z_j .

Problem 4

It is known that every integer $n > 1$ can be uniquely factored as a product of primes. For example, $4 = 2 \times 2$, $6 = 2 \times 3$, and $90 = 2 \times 3 \times 3 \times 5$. Let $p(n)$ be the number of *distinct* prime divisors of n , so $p(6) = 2$ but $p(4) = 1$.

- (a) (2 points) Show that $p(n) = O(\log n)$.
- (b) (4 points) Show that $p(n) = O\left(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}\right)$.
- (c) (4 points) It is a fact, which you may assume without proof, that there are $\Theta(t/\log t)$ primes between 1 and t . Use this fact to show that it is *not* true that $p(n) = o\left(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}\right)$.

Problem 5 (Programming Problem)

Solve “ZOO” on the programming server <https://cs125.seas.harvard.edu>. (under “Problem Set 1”).