

Harvard School of Engineering and Applied Sciences — CS 152: Programming Languages
Parametric polymorphism, records, subtyping, Curry-howard Isomorphism,
Existential types
Section and Practice Problems

Monday March 30, 2015

1 Parametric polymorphism

(a) For each of the following System F expressions, is the expression well-typed, and if so, what type does it have? (If you are unsure, try to construct a typing derivation. Make sure you understand the typing rules.)

- $\Lambda A. \lambda x : A \rightarrow \mathbf{int}. 42$
- $\lambda y : \forall X. X \rightarrow X. (y \ [\mathbf{int}]) \ 17$
- $\Lambda Y. \Lambda Z. \lambda f : Y \rightarrow Z. \lambda a : Y. f \ a$
- $\Lambda A. \Lambda B. \Lambda C. \lambda f : A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C. \lambda b : B. \lambda a : A. f \ a \ b$

(b) For each of the following types, write an expression with that type.

- $\forall X. X \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)$
- $(\forall C. \forall D. C \rightarrow D) \rightarrow (\forall E. \mathbf{int} \rightarrow E)$
- $\forall X. X \rightarrow (\forall Y. Y \rightarrow X)$

2 Records and Subtyping

(a) Assume that we have a language with references and records. Write an expression with type

$$\{ \mathit{cell} : \mathbf{int} \ \mathit{ref}, \mathit{inc} : \mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int} \}$$

such that invoking the function in the field *inc* will increment the contents of the reference in the field *cell*.

Assuming that the variable *y* is bound to your expression, write an expression that increments the contents of the cell twice.

(b) The following expression is well-typed (with type **int**). Show its typing derivation. (Note: you will need to use the subsumption rule.)

$$(\lambda x : \{ \mathit{dogs} : \mathbf{int}, \mathit{cats} : \mathbf{int} \}. x.\mathit{dogs} + x.\mathit{cats}) \ \{ \mathit{dogs} = 2, \mathit{cats} = 7, \mathit{mice} = 19 \}$$

3 Curry-Howard Isomorphism

The following logical formulas are tautologies, i.e., they are true. For each tautology, state the corresponding type, and come up with a term that has the corresponding type.

For example, for the logical formula $\forall \phi. \phi \implies \phi$, the corresponding type is $\forall X. X \rightarrow X$, and a term with that type is $\Lambda X. \lambda x : X. x$. Another example: for the logical formula $\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 \implies \tau_1$, the corresponding type is $\tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1$, and a term with that type is $\lambda x : \tau_1 \times \tau_2. \#1 \ x$.

You may assume that the lambda calculus you are using for terms includes integers, functions, products, sums, universal types and existential types.

(a) $\forall \phi. \forall \psi. \phi \wedge \psi \implies \psi \vee \phi$

- (b) $\forall\phi. \forall\psi. \forall\chi. (\phi \wedge \psi \implies \chi) \implies (\phi \implies (\psi \implies \chi))$
- (c) $\exists\phi. \forall\psi. \psi \implies \phi$
- (d) $\tau \implies (\forall\phi. \phi \implies \tau)$
- (e) $(\forall\phi. \phi \implies \tau) \implies \tau$

4 Existential types

- (a) Write a term with type $\exists C. \{ produce : \mathbf{int} \rightarrow C, consume : C \rightarrow \mathbf{bool} \}$. Moreover, ensure that calling the function *produce* will produce a value of type *C* such that passing the value as an argument to *consume* will return true if and only if the argument to *produce* was 42. (Assume that you have an integer comparison operator in the language.)
- (b) Do the same as in part (a) above, but now use a different witness type.
- (c) Assuming you have a value *v* of type $\exists C. \{ produce : \mathbf{int} \rightarrow C, consume : C \rightarrow \mathbf{bool} \}$, use *v* to “produce” and “consume” a value (i.e., make sure you know how to use the `unpack {X, x} = e1 in e2` expression).