1 Denotational Semantics

(a) Give the denotational semantic for each of the following IMP programs. That is, express the meaning of each of the following programs as a function from stores to stores.

(i) \( a := b + 5; \ a := a \times b \)

**Answer:** We can implement the rules and simplify to obtain:
\[
\mathcal{C}[a := b + 5; \ a := a \times b] = \sigma[a \mapsto \sigma(a) + 5](a) \times \sigma(b)] \\
= \sigma[a \mapsto (\sigma(b) + 5) \times \sigma(b)]
\]

(ii) \( \text{if } \mathrm{foo} < 0 \text{ then } \mathrm{bar} := \mathrm{foo} \times \mathrm{foo} \text{ else } \mathrm{bar} := \mathrm{foo} \times \mathrm{foo} \times \mathrm{foo} \)

**Answer:** We take \( c \) to be the command above:
\[
\mathcal{C}[c] = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\sigma[\text{bar} \mapsto \sigma(\text{foo}) \times \sigma(\text{foo})] & \mathrm{foo} < 0 \\
\sigma[\text{bar} \mapsto \sigma(\text{foo}) \times \sigma(\text{foo}) \times \sigma(\text{foo})] & \text{otherwise}
\end{array} \right.
\]

(iii) \( \text{bar} := \text{foo} \times \text{foo}; \text{if } \mathrm{foo} < 0 \text{ then skip else } \text{bar} := \text{bar} \times \text{foo} \)

(Hint: the answer to this question should be the same function as the answer to 1(b)ii above. You may have written the function down differently, but it should be the same mathematical function.)

**Answer:** We have a very similar function to the above:
\[
\mathcal{C}[c] = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\sigma[\text{bar} \mapsto \sigma(\text{foo}) \times \sigma(\text{foo})] & \mathrm{foo} < 0 \\
\sigma[\text{bar} \mapsto \sigma(\text{foo}) \times \sigma(\text{foo}) \times \sigma(\text{foo})]\times \sigma(\text{foo})] & \text{otherwise}
\end{array} \right.
\]

(iv) \( a := 0; \ b := 0; \text{while } a < 3 \text{ do } b := b + c \)

**Answer:** Note that the above term diverges. So \( \mathcal{C}[a := 0; b := 0; \text{while } a < 3 \text{ do } b := b + c] \) is the partial function with an empty domain.
(b) Consider the following loop.

\[
\text{while } \text{foo} < 5 \text{ do } \text{foo} := \text{foo} + 1; \text{bar} := \text{bar} + 1
\]

We will consider the denotational semantics of this loop.

(i) What is the denotational semantics of the loop guard \(\text{foo} < 5\)? That is, what is the function \(B[\text{foo} < 5]\)?

**Answer:**

\[
B[\text{foo} < 5] = \{(\sigma, \text{true}) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) < 5\} \cup \{(\sigma, \text{false}) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) \geq 5\}
\]

**Equivalently:**

\[
B[\text{foo} < 5] = \begin{cases} 
\text{true} & \text{if } \sigma(\text{foo}) < 5 \\
\text{false} & \text{if } \sigma(\text{foo}) \geq 5
\end{cases}
\]

(ii) What is the denotational semantics of the loop body \(\text{foo} := \text{foo} + 1; \text{bar} := \text{bar} + 1\)? That is, what is the function \(C[\text{foo} := \text{foo} + 1; \text{bar} := \text{bar} + 1]\)?

**Answer:** *After some simplification:*

\[
C[\text{foo} := \text{foo} + 1; \text{bar} := \text{bar} + 1] = \sigma[\text{foo} \mapsto \sigma(\text{foo}) + 1, \text{bar} \mapsto \sigma(\text{bar}) + 1]
\]

(iii) Recall that the semantics of the loop is the fixed point of the following higher-order function \(F\). (This is from Section 1.2 of Lecture 6, where we have provided a specific loop guard \(b\) and loop body \(c\) for the higher-order function \(F_{b,c}\).)

\[
F : (\text{Store} \rightarrow \text{Store}) \rightarrow (\text{Store} \rightarrow \text{Store})
\]

\[
F(f) = \{(\sigma, \sigma') \mid (\sigma, \text{false}) \in B[\text{foo} < 5]\} \cup \\
\{(\sigma, \sigma') \mid (\sigma, \text{true}) \in B[\text{foo} < 5]\} \land \\
\exists \sigma''. ((\sigma, \sigma'') \in C[\text{foo} := \text{foo} + 1; \text{bar} := \text{bar} + 1] \land (\sigma'', \sigma') \in f)\}
\]

That is, the semantics of the loop are:

\[
C[\text{while } \text{foo} < 5 \text{ do } \text{foo} := \text{foo} + 1; \text{bar} := \text{bar} + 1] = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} F^i(\emptyset)
\]

\[
= \emptyset \cup F(\emptyset) \cup F(F(\emptyset)) \cup F(F(F(\emptyset))) \cup \ldots
\]

\[
= \text{fix}(F)
\]

Compute \(F(\emptyset), F(F(\emptyset)), \text{ and } F(F(F(\emptyset)))\).

In general, what is the domain of the partial function \(F^i(\emptyset)\)? (Note that \(F^i(\emptyset)\) is \(F\) applied to the empty set \(i\) times, e.g., \(F^2(\emptyset)\) is \(F(F(\emptyset))\).)
Answer: For reference as to how we arrive at the below, please see lecture notes.

\[
F(\emptyset) = \{ (\sigma, \sigma) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) \geq 5 \}
\]

\[
F^2(\emptyset) = \{ (\sigma, \sigma) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) \geq 5 \}
\]
\[
\cup \{ (\sigma, \sigma[\text{foo} \mapsto \sigma(\text{foo}) + 1, \text{bar} \mapsto \sigma(\text{bar}) + 1]) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) = 4 \}
\]
\[
= \{ (\sigma, \sigma) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) \geq 5 \}
\]
\[
\cup \{ (\sigma, \sigma[\text{foo} \mapsto 5, \text{bar} \mapsto \sigma(\text{bar}) + 1]) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) = 4 \}
\]
\[
F^3(\emptyset) = \{ (\sigma, \sigma) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) \geq 5 \}
\]
\[
\cup \{ (\sigma, \sigma[\text{foo} \mapsto \sigma(\text{foo}) + 1, \text{bar} \mapsto \sigma(\text{bar}) + 1]) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) = 4 \}
\]
\[
\cup \{ (\sigma, \sigma[\text{foo} \mapsto \sigma(\text{foo}) + 2, \text{bar} \mapsto \sigma(\text{bar}) + 2]) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) = 3 \}
\]
\[
= \{ (\sigma, \sigma) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) \geq 5 \}
\]
\[
\cup \{ (\sigma, \sigma[\text{foo} \mapsto 5, \text{bar} \mapsto \sigma(\text{bar}) + 1]) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) = 4 \}
\]
\[
\cup \{ (\sigma, \sigma[\text{foo} \mapsto 5, \text{bar} \mapsto \sigma(\text{bar}) + 2]) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) = 3 \}
\]

In general, we have:

\[
F^i(\emptyset) = \{ (\sigma, \sigma) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) \geq 5 \}
\]
\[
\cup \{ (\sigma, \sigma[\text{foo} \mapsto \sigma(\text{foo}) + 1, \text{bar} \mapsto \sigma(\text{bar}) + 1]) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) = 4 \}
\]
\[
\cup \{ (\sigma, \sigma[\text{foo} \mapsto \sigma(\text{foo}) + 2, \text{bar} \mapsto \sigma(\text{bar}) + 2]) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) = 3 \}
\]
\[
\cdots
\]
\[
\cup \{ (\sigma, \sigma[\text{foo} \mapsto 5, \text{bar} \mapsto \sigma(\text{bar}) + (i - 1)]) \mid \sigma(\text{foo}) + (i - 1) = 5 \}
\]

So we note that \( F^i \) is defined for all \( \sigma \) such that \( \sigma(\text{foo}) \geq i \).

2 Lambda Calculus Basics

(a) Variable Bindings Fully parenthesize each expression based on the standard parsing of \( \lambda \)-calculus expressions, i.e. you should parenthesize all applications and \( \lambda \) abstractions. Then, draw a box around all binding occurrences of variables, underline all usage occurrence of variables, and circle all free variables. For each bound usage occurrence, neatly draw an arrow to indicate its corresponding binding occurrence. (You may also use other methods to indicate binding occurrences of variables, usage occurrences of variables, free variables, and which uses correspond to which bindings.)

\[ \lambda a. z \lambda z. a y \]

Answer:

\( (\lambda a. z \lambda z. a y) \)

\[ \lambda z. z \lambda b. \lambda a. a a \]

Answer:

\( (\lambda z. z) (\lambda b. \lambda a. a a) \)
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• \( \lambda b. b \) \( \lambda a. a \) \( b \)

Answer:

\[
(\lambda[b] \ b \ (\lambda[\alpha] \ a \ b))
\]

• \( \lambda z. \) \( \lambda z. \) \( z \) \( z \)

Answer:

\[
(\lambda[z] \ z \ (\lambda[z] \ z \ z))
\]

• \( \lambda a. \) \( \lambda b. (\lambda a. a) \) \( \lambda b. a \)

Answer:

\[
(\lambda[a] \ (\lambda[b] \ (\lambda[a] \ a) \ (\lambda[b] \ a)))
\]

• \( x \) \( \lambda x. x \) \( (\lambda x. x) \)

Answer:

\[
(\lambda x. x \ x) \ (\lambda x. x \ x) \ (\lambda x. x \ x)
\]

• \( y \) \( (\lambda y. y)(\lambda y. z) \)

Answer:

\[
(\lambda y. y) \ (\lambda y. y) \ (\lambda y. y)
\]

(b) **Alpha equivalence:** Which of these three lambda-calculus expressions are alpha equivalent?

i. \( \lambda x. y \) \( \lambda a. a \) \( x \)

ii. \( \lambda x. z \) \( \lambda b. b \) \( x \)

iii. \( \lambda a. y \) \( \lambda b. b \) \( a \)

(Hint: to figure out whether two expressions are alpha equivalent, you need to know which variables are free and which variables are bound.)

Answer: Expressions i. and iii. are alpha-equivalent. Note that alpha equivalence applies only to bound variables; free variables cannot be renamed.

(c) **Evaluation** For each of the following terms, do the following: (a) write the result of one step of the call-by-value reduction of the term; (b) write the result of one step of the call-by-name reduction of the term; and (c) write all possible results of one step under full \( \beta \)-reduction. If the term cannot take a step, please note that instead.
\[ (\lambda z. \lambda x. x x) (\lambda y. y) \]

**Answer:** The semantics for CBV and CBN are the same, we have:
\[ (\lambda z. \lambda x. x x) (\lambda y. y) \rightarrow \lambda x. x x \]
There are no other possible reductions since only one application exists.

\[ \lambda a. \lambda b. (\lambda c. c) (\lambda d. d) \]

**Answer:** There are no possible steps under CBV and CBN because neither allows reductions inside a lambda term. For full \( \beta \)-reduction, we have the following:
\[ \lambda a. \lambda b. (\lambda c. c) (\lambda d. d) \rightarrow \lambda a. \lambda b. \lambda d. d \]

\[ (\lambda x. x x x x) (\lambda x. y. x y) \]

**Answer:** Under CBV and CBN we have:
\[ (\lambda x. x x x x) (\lambda x. y. x y) \rightarrow (\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) (\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) (\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) (\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) \]
and under full \( \beta \)-reduction we have no additional steps.

\[ (\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) ((\lambda w. \lambda z. w z) (\lambda x. x)) \]

**Answer:** Under CBV we have:
\[ (\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) ((\lambda w. \lambda z. w z) (\lambda x. x)) \rightarrow (\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) (\lambda z. w z) \]
and under CBN:
\[ (\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) ((\lambda w. \lambda z. w z) (\lambda x. x)) \rightarrow \lambda y. ((\lambda w. \lambda z. w z) (\lambda x. x)) y \]
There are no additional steps that we can take under full \( \beta \)-reduction.

\[ (\lambda a. (\lambda b. b a) a) (\lambda z. z) (\lambda w. w) \]

**Answer:** First, note that the fully parenthesized expression is
\[ ((\lambda a. (\lambda b. b a) a) (\lambda z. z)) (\lambda w. w). \]
Under both CBV and CBN we have
\[ (\lambda a. (\lambda b. b a) a) (\lambda z. z) (\lambda w. w) \rightarrow ((\lambda b. (\lambda z. z)) (\lambda z. z)) (\lambda w. w). \]
On addition, under full \( \beta \)-reduction we can also reduce the subexpression \( \lambda b. b a \) \( a \), giving us
\[ (\lambda a. (\lambda b. b a) a) (\lambda z. z) (\lambda w. w) \rightarrow (\lambda a. a a) (\lambda z. z) (\lambda w. w). \]
(d) Suppose we have an applied lambda calculus with integers and addition. Write the sequence of expressions that the following lambda calculus term evaluates to under call-by-value semantics. Then do the same under call-by-name semantics.

\[(\lambda f. f \ (f \ 8)) \ (\lambda x. x + 17)\]

**Answer:** The term under CBN semantics evaluates to:

\[
(\lambda f. f \ (f \ 8)) \ (\lambda x. x + 17) \rightarrow (\lambda x. x + 17) \ ((\lambda x. x + 17) \ 8) \\
\rightarrow ((\lambda x. x + 17) \ 8) + 17 \\
\rightarrow (8 + 17) + 17 \\
\rightarrow 25 + 17 \\
\rightarrow 42
\]

and under CBV we have:

\[
(\lambda f. f \ (f \ 8)) \ (\lambda x. x + 17) \rightarrow (\lambda x. x + 17) \ ((\lambda x. x + 17) \ 8) \\
\rightarrow (\lambda x. x + 17) \ (8 + 17) \\
\rightarrow (\lambda x. x + 17) \ (25) \\
\rightarrow 25 + 17 \\
\rightarrow 42
\]