

More types Section and Practice Problems

Mar 6-9, 2018

1 Products and Sums

For these questions, use the lambda calculus with products and sums (Lecture 13§1.1).

- (a) Write a program that constructs two values of type $\mathbf{int} + (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int})$, one using left injection, and one using right injection.

Answer:

```

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let } a : \mathbf{int} + (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) = \text{inl}_{\mathbf{int} + (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int})} 3 \text{ in} \\ \text{inr}_{\mathbf{int} + (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int})} \lambda x : \mathbf{int}. 3 \end{aligned}$$

```

- (b) Write a function that takes a value of type $\mathbf{int} + (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int})$ and if the value is an integer, it adds 7 to it, and if the value is a function it applies the function to 42.

Answer:

```

$$\lambda a : \mathbf{int} + (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}). \text{case } a \text{ of } \lambda y : \mathbf{int}. y + 7 \mid \lambda f : \mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}. f 42$$

```

- (c) Give a typing derivation for the following program.

$$\lambda p : (\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \times (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}). \lambda x : \mathbf{unit} + \mathbf{int}. \text{case } x \text{ of } \#1 p \mid \#2 p$$

Answer: For brevity, let $e_1 \equiv \lambda x : \mathbf{unit} + \mathbf{int}. \text{case } x \text{ of } \#1 p \mid \#2 p$ and let $\Gamma = \{p : (\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \times (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}), x : \mathbf{unit} + \mathbf{int}\}$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{T-VAR} \quad \text{T-CASE} \\ \Gamma \vdash x : \mathbf{unit} + \mathbf{int} \end{array}}{\vdash \lambda p : (\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \times (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}). \lambda x : \mathbf{unit} + \mathbf{int}. \text{case } x \text{ of } \#1 p \mid \#2 p : \mathbf{int}}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{T-LPROJ} \quad \text{T-RPROJ} \\ \Gamma \vdash p : (\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int} \times \mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \quad \Gamma \vdash \#1 p : \mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int} \\ \Gamma \vdash \#1 p : \mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int} \quad \Gamma \vdash \#2 p : \mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int} \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case } x \text{ of } \#1 p \mid \#2 p : \mathbf{int}}$$

- (d) Write a program that uses the term in part (c) above to produce the value 42.

Answer: We refer to the term in part (c) above as f .

$$f(\lambda x : \mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}. 42, \lambda x : \mathbf{int}. 41) \text{inl}_{\mathbf{unit} + \mathbf{int}}()$$

2 Recursion

- (a) Use the $\mu x. e$ expression to write a function that takes a natural number n and returns the sum of all even natural numbers less than or equal to n . (You can assume you have appropriate integer comparison operators, and also a modulus operator.)

Answer:

$$\mu f. \lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + f(n - 2) \text{ else } f(n - 1)$$

- (b) Try executing your program by applying it to the number 5.

Answer: The program executes correctly and returns 6. For brevity, we will refer to the expression from the answer above as F .

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& F 5 \\
\rightarrow & (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + F(n - 2) \text{ else } F(n - 1)) 5 \\
\rightarrow & \text{if } 5 \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (5 \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } 5 + F(5 - 2) \text{ else } F(5 - 1) \\
\rightarrow & \text{if false then } 0 \text{ else if } (5 \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } 5 + F(5 - 2) \text{ else } F(5 - 1) \\
\rightarrow & \text{if } (5 \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } 5 + F(5 - 2) \text{ else } F(5 - 1) \\
\rightarrow & \text{if } 1 = 0 \text{ then } 5 + F(5 - 2) \text{ else } F(5 - 1) \\
\rightarrow & \text{if false then } 5 + F(5 - 2) \text{ else } F(5 - 1) \\
\rightarrow & F(5 - 1) \\
\rightarrow & (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + F(n - 2) \text{ else } F(n - 1))(5 - 1) \\
\rightarrow & (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + F(n - 2) \text{ else } F(n - 1)) 4 \\
\rightarrow & \text{if } 4 \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (4 \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } 4 + F(4 - 2) \text{ else } F(4 - 1) \\
\rightarrow & \text{if false then } 0 \text{ else if } (4 \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } 4 + F(4 - 2) \text{ else } F(4 - 1) \\
\rightarrow & \text{if } (4 \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } 4 + F(4 - 2) \text{ else } F(4 - 1) \\
\rightarrow & \text{if } 0 = 0 \text{ then } 4 + F(4 - 2) \text{ else } F(4 - 1) \\
\rightarrow & \text{if true then } 4 + F(4 - 2) \text{ else } F(4 - 1) \\
\rightarrow & 4 + F(4 - 2) \\
\rightarrow & 4 + (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + F(n - 2) \text{ else } F(n - 1))(4 - 2) \\
\rightarrow & 4 + (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + F(n - 2) \text{ else } F(n - 1)) 2 \\
\rightarrow & 4 + (\text{if } 2 \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (2 \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } 2 + F(2 - 2) \text{ else } F(2 - 1)) \\
\rightarrow & 4 + (\text{if false then } 0 \text{ else if } (2 \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } 2 + F(2 - 2) \text{ else } F(2 - 1)) \\
\rightarrow & 4 + (\text{if } (2 \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } 2 + F(2 - 2) \text{ else } F(2 - 1)) \\
\rightarrow & 4 + (\text{if } 0 = 0 \text{ then } 2 + F(2 - 2) \text{ else } F(2 - 1)) \\
\rightarrow & 4 + (\text{if true then } 2 + F(2 - 2) \text{ else } F(2 - 1)) \\
\rightarrow & 4 + (2 + F(2 - 2)) \\
\rightarrow & 4 + (2 + (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + F(n - 2) \text{ else } F(n - 1))(2 - 2)) \\
\rightarrow & 4 + (2 + (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + F(n - 2) \text{ else } F(n - 1)) 0) \\
\rightarrow & 4 + (2 + (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + F(n - 2) \text{ else } F(n - 1)) 0) \\
\rightarrow & 4 + (2 + (\text{if } 0 \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (0 \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } 0 + F(0 - 2) \text{ else } F(0 - 1))) \\
\rightarrow & 4 + (2 + (\text{if true then } 0 \text{ else if } (0 \bmod 2) = 0 \text{ then } 0 + F(0 - 2) \text{ else } F(0 - 1)))
\end{aligned}$$


```

$\rightarrow 4 + (2 + (0))$
 $\rightarrow^* 6$

(c) Give a typing derivation for the following program. What happens if you execute the program?

$$\mu p : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \times (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}). (\lambda n : \text{int}. n + 1, \#1 p)$$

Answer: For brevity, we write τ_p for the type $(\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \times (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})$.

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{T-VAR} \quad \text{T-INT} \\ \hline p : \tau_p, n : \text{int} \vdash n : \text{int} \quad p : \tau_p, n : \text{int} \vdash 1 : \text{int} \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \text{T-SUM} \quad \text{T-ABS} \\ \hline p : \tau_p, n : \text{int} \vdash n + 1 : \text{int} \end{array}} \quad \frac{\text{T-PAIR}}{\begin{array}{c} p : \tau_p \vdash \lambda n : \text{int}. n + 1 : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \\ \hline p : \tau_p \vdash (\lambda n : \text{int}. n + 1, \#1 p) : \tau_p \end{array}} \quad \frac{\text{T-PROJ}}{\begin{array}{c} \text{T-VAR} \\ \hline p : \tau_p \vdash p : \tau_p \end{array}} \quad \frac{}{p : \tau_p \vdash \#1 p : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}}$$

$$\frac{\text{T-REC}}{\vdash \mu p : \tau_p. (\lambda n : \text{int}. n + 1, \#1 p) : \tau_p}$$

Now, if you actually tried to execute this expression under a Call-By-Name semantics, it would unfold the recursive expression to $(\lambda n : \text{int}. n + 1, \#1 P)$, where P is the recursive expression $\mu p : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \times (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}). (\lambda n : \text{int}. n + 1, \#1 p)$. While the first element of the pair is a value, the second $\#2 P$ is not, and so we would attempt to evaluate that expression. However, that requires evaluating the expression $P \equiv \mu p : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \times (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}). (\lambda n : \text{int}. n + 1, \#1 p)$.

So, under Call-by-Name semantics, the program will not terminate.

3 References

(a) Give a typing derivation for the following program.

```
let a : int ref = ref 4 in
let b : (int → int) ref = ref λx : int. x + 38 in
!b !a
```

Answer: For brevity, we will write e for the expression above, and e_b for the subexpression $\text{let } b : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \text{ ref} = \text{ref } \lambda x : \text{int}. x + 38 \text{ in } !b !a$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{T-INT} \quad \text{T-ALLOC} \\ \hline \vdash 4 : \text{int} \quad \vdash \text{ref } 4 : \text{int ref} \end{array}}{\text{T-LET} \vdash e : \text{int}} \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{T-LET} \\ \hline \vdash e : \text{int} \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \text{T-ABS} \quad \text{T-ALLOC} \\ \hline \vdash a : \text{int ref}, x : \text{int} \vdash x + 38 : \text{int} \quad \vdash a : \text{int ref} \vdash \text{ref } \lambda x : \text{int}. x + 38 : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \text{ ref} \end{array}} \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{T-INT} \\ \hline \vdash a : \text{int ref}, x : \text{int} \vdash 38 : \text{int} \end{array}}{\vdash a : \text{int ref}, b : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \text{ ref} \vdash !b !a : \text{int}}$$

The subderivation marked $\dot{1}$ is:

$$\frac{\text{T-ADD}}{\begin{array}{c} \text{T-VAR} \quad \text{T-INT} \\ \hline a : \text{int ref}, x : \text{int} \vdash x : \text{int} \quad a : \text{int ref}, x : \text{int} \vdash 38 : \text{int} \\ \hline a : \text{int ref}, x : \text{int} \vdash x + 38 : \text{int} \end{array}}$$

The subderivation marked $\ddot{\cdot}_2$ is:

$$\text{T-APP} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{T-DEREF} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{T-VAR} \frac{\Gamma_{ab} \vdash b : (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \mathbf{ref}}{\Gamma_{ab} \vdash b : \mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}} \\ \text{T-DEREF} \frac{\Gamma_{ab} \vdash a : \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref}}{\Gamma_{ab} \vdash a : \mathbf{int}} \end{array}}{\Gamma_{ab} \vdash !b : \mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}} \end{array}}{\Gamma_{ab} \vdash !b !a : \mathbf{int}}$$

where $\Gamma_{ab} = a : \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref}, b : (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \mathbf{ref}$.

- (b) Execute the program above for 4 small steps, to get configuration $\langle e, \sigma \rangle$. What is an appropriate Σ such that $\emptyset, \Sigma \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Sigma \vdash \sigma$?

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \text{let } a : \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref} = \mathbf{ref} 4 \text{ in let } b : (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \mathbf{ref} = \mathbf{ref} \lambda x : \mathbf{int}. x + 38 \text{ in } !b !a, \emptyset \rangle \\ \rightarrow & \langle \text{let } a : \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref} = \ell_a \text{ in let } b : (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \mathbf{ref} = \mathbf{ref} \lambda x : \mathbf{int}. x + 38 \text{ in } !b !a, [\ell_a \mapsto 4] \rangle \\ \rightarrow & \langle \text{let } b : (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \mathbf{ref} = \mathbf{ref} \lambda x : \mathbf{int}. x + 38 \text{ in } !b !\ell_a, [\ell_a \mapsto 4] \rangle \\ \rightarrow & \langle \text{let } b : (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \mathbf{ref} = \ell_b \text{ in } !b !\ell_a, [\ell_a \mapsto 4, \ell_b \mapsto \lambda x : \mathbf{int}. x + 38] \rangle \\ \rightarrow & \langle !\ell_b !\ell_a, [\ell_a \mapsto 4, \ell_b \mapsto \lambda x : \mathbf{int}. x + 38] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

An appropriate store typing context is $\Sigma = \ell_a \mapsto \mathbf{int}, \ell_b \mapsto \mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}$.