Harvard School of Engineering and Applied Sciences - CS 152: Programming Languages

# Type Inference; Parametric Polymorphism; Records and Subtyping Section and Practice Problems

Mar 20-23, 2018

## 1 Type Inference

(a) Recall the constraint-based typing judgment  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \triangleright C$ . Give inference rules for products and sums. That is, for the following expressions.

•  $(e_1, e_2)$ 

- #1 e
- #2 e
- $\operatorname{inl}_{\tau_1+\tau_2} e$
- $\operatorname{inr}_{\tau_1+\tau_2} e$
- case  $e_1$  of  $e_2 \mid e_3$

#### Answer:

Note that in all of the rules below except for the rule for pairs  $(e_1, e_2)$ , the types in the premise and conclusion are connected only through constraints. The reason for this is the same as in the typing rule for function application, and for addition: we may not be able to derive that the premise has the appropriate type, e.g., for a projection #1 e, we may not be able to derive that  $\Gamma \vdash e: \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \triangleright C$ . We instead use constraints to ensure that the derived type is appropriate.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \triangleright C_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \triangleright C_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \triangleright C_1 \cup C_2}$$

 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \tau \triangleright C}{\Gamma \vdash \#1 \ e: X \triangleright C \cup \{\tau \equiv X \times Y\}} X, Y \text{ are fresh } \frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \tau \triangleright C}{\Gamma \vdash \#2 \ e: Y \triangleright C \cup \{\tau \equiv X \times Y\}} X, Y \text{ are fresh } L = X \land Y$ 

$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \triangleright C \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash \textit{inl}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} e : \tau_1 + \tau_2 \triangleright C \cup \{\tau \equiv \tau_1\} \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \triangleright C \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash \textit{inl}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} e : \tau_1 + \tau_2 \triangleright C \cup \{\tau \equiv \tau_2\} \end{array}$$

 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \triangleright C_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \triangleright C_2 \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau_3 \triangleright C_3}{\Gamma \vdash \textit{case } e_1 \textit{ of } e_2 \mid e_3 : Z \triangleright C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3 \cup \{\tau_1 \equiv X + Y, \tau_2 \equiv X \rightarrow Z, \tau_3 \equiv Y \rightarrow Z\}} X, Y, Z \textit{ are fresh}$ 

(b) Determine a set of constraints C and type  $\tau$  such that

$$\vdash \ \lambda x : A. \ \lambda y : B. \ (\#1 \ y) + (x \ (\#2 \ y)) + (x \ 2) \ : \tau \triangleright C$$

and give the derivation for it.

### Answer:

 $C = \{B \equiv X \times Y, X \equiv int, B \equiv Z \times W, A \equiv W \rightarrow U, U \equiv int, A \equiv int \rightarrow V, V \equiv int\}$  $\tau \equiv A \rightarrow B \rightarrow int$ 

To see how we got these constraints, we will consider the subexpressions in turn (rather than trying to typeset a really really big derivation).

The expression  $\#1\ y$  requires us to add a constraint that the type of y (i.e., B) is equal to a product type for some fresh variables X and Y, thus constraint  $B \equiv X \times Y$ . (And expression  $\#1\ y$  has type X.)

The expression (#2 y) similarly requires us to add a constraint that the type of y (i.e., B) is equal to a product type for some fresh variables Z and W, thus constraint  $B \equiv Z \times W$ . (And expression #2 y has type W.)

The expression  $x \ (\#2 \ y)$  requires us to add a constraint that unifies the type of x (i.e., A) with a function type  $W \rightarrow U$  (where W is the type of  $\#2 \ y$  and U is a fresh type variable).

The expression x 2 requires us to add a constraint that unifies the type of x (i.e., A) with a function type **int**  $\rightarrow V$  (where **int** is the type of expression 2 and V is a fresh type).

The addition operations leads us to add constraints  $X \equiv int$ ,  $U \equiv int$ , and  $V \equiv int$  (i.e., the types of expressions  $(\#1 \ y)$ ,  $(x \ (\#2 \ y))$  and  $(x \ 2)$  must all unify with int.

(c) Recall the unification algorithm from Lecture 14. What is the result of unify(C) for the set of constraints C from Question 1(b) above?

Answer: The result is a substitution equivalent to

 $[A \mapsto \textit{int} \rightarrow \textit{int}, B \mapsto \textit{int} \times \textit{int}, X \mapsto \textit{int}, Y \mapsto \textit{int}, Z \mapsto \textit{int}, W \mapsto \textit{int}, U \mapsto \textit{int}, V \mapsto \textit{int}]$ 

# 2 Parametric polymorphism

- (a) For each of the following System F expressions, is the expression well-typed, and if so, what type does it have? (If you are unsure, try to construct a typing derivation. Make sure you understand the typing rules.)
  - $\Lambda A. \lambda x : A \rightarrow \text{int.} 42$
  - $\lambda y: \forall X. X \to X. (y [int]) 17$
  - $\Lambda Y. \Lambda Z. \lambda f: Y \to Z. \lambda a: Y. f a$
  - $\Lambda A. \Lambda B. \Lambda C. \lambda f: A \to B \to C. \lambda b: B. \lambda a: A. f a b$

### Answer:

•  $\Lambda A. \lambda x: A \rightarrow int. 42$  has type

 $\forall A. (A \rightarrow int) \rightarrow int$ 

•  $\lambda y: \forall X. X \rightarrow X. (y [int])$  17 has type

 $(\forall X. \ X \to X) \to int$ 

•  $\Lambda Y. \Lambda Z. \lambda f: Y \to Z. \lambda a: Y. f a has type$ 

$$\forall Y. \forall Z. (Y \to Z) \to Y \to Z$$

•  $\Lambda A. \Lambda B. \Lambda C. \lambda f: A \to B \to C. \lambda b: B. \lambda a: A. f a b has type$ 

$$\forall A. \forall B. \forall C. (A \to B \to C) \to B \to A \to C$$

(b) For each of the following types, write an expression with that type.

• 
$$\forall X. X \to (X \to X)$$

- $(\forall C. \forall D. C \to D) \to (\forall E. \text{ int } \to E)$
- $\forall X. X \to (\forall Y. Y \to X)$

### Answer:

•  $\forall X. X \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)$  is the type of

$$\Lambda X. \ \lambda x : X. \ \lambda y : X. \ y$$

•  $(\forall C. \forall D. C \rightarrow D) \rightarrow (\forall E. \text{ int } \rightarrow E)$  is the type of

$$\lambda f : \forall C. \forall D. C \rightarrow D. \Lambda E. \lambda x : int. (f [int] [E]) x$$

•  $\forall X. X \rightarrow (\forall Y. Y \rightarrow X)$  is the type of

$$\Lambda X. \ \lambda x : X. \ \Lambda Y. \ \lambda y : Y. \ x$$

### 3 Records and Subtyping

- (a) Assume that we have a language with references and records.
  - (i) Write an expression with type

$$\{ cell : int ref, inc : unit \rightarrow int \}$$

such that invoking the function in the field *inc* will increment the contents of the reference in the field *cell*.

**Answer:** *The following expression has the appropriate type.* 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{let } x = \textit{ref } 14 \textit{ in} \\ \{ \textit{ cell } = x, \textit{ inc } = \lambda u : \textit{unit.} x := (!x+1) \end{array} \}$ 

(ii) Assuming that the variable y is bound to the expression you wrote for part (i) above, write an expression that increments the contents of the cell twice.

Answer:

let z = y.inc () in y.inc ()

(b) The following expression is well-typed (with type **int**). Show its typing derivation. (Note: you will need to use the subsumption rule.)

 $(\lambda x: \{ dogs: int, cats: int \}. x.dogs + x.cats) \{ dogs = 2, cats = 7, mice = 19 \}$ 

### Answer:

For brevity, let  $e_1 \equiv \lambda x$ : {dogs : **int**, cats : **int**}. x.dogs+x.cats) and let  $e_2 \equiv$  {dogs = 2, cats = 7, mice = 19}. The derivation has the following form.



The derivation of  $e_2$  requires the use of subsumption, since we need to show that  $e_2 \equiv \{ dogs = 2, cats = 7, mice = 19 \}$  has type  $\{ dogs : int, cats : int \}$ .

 $\vdash 2: int \vdash 7: int \vdash 19: int$ 

 $\vdash \{ dogs = 2, cats = 7, mice = 19 \} : \{ dogs : int, cats : int, mice : int \} \qquad \{ dogs : int, cats : int, mice : int \} \leq \{ dogs : int, cats : int \} \\ \vdash \{ dogs = 2, cats = 7, mice = 19 \} : \{ dogs : int, cats : int \}$