Harvard School of Engineering and Applied Sciences — CS 152: Programming Languages

## Type Inference Section and Practice Problems

March 30 – Apr. 3, 2020

## 1 Type Inference

- (a) Recall the constraint-based typing judgment  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \triangleright C$ . Give inference rules for products and sums. That is, for the following expressions.
  - $(e_1, e_2)$
  - #1 e
  - #2 e
  - $\operatorname{inl}_{\tau_1+\tau_2} e$
  - $\operatorname{inr}_{\tau_1+\tau_2} e$
  - case  $e_1$  of  $e_2 \mid e_3$

## Answer:

Note that in all of the rules below except for the rule for pairs  $(e_1, e_2)$ , the types in the premise and conclusion are connected only through constraints. The reason for this is the same as in the typing rule for function application, and for addition: we may not be able to derive that the premise has the appropriate type, e.g., for a projection #1 e, we may not be able to derive that  $\Gamma \vdash e: \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \triangleright C$ . We instead use constraints to ensure that the derived type is appropriate.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \triangleright C_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \triangleright C_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \triangleright C_1 \cup C_2}$$

 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \tau \triangleright C}{\Gamma \vdash \#1 \ e: X \triangleright C \cup \{\tau \equiv X \times Y\}} X, Y \text{ are fresh } \frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \tau \triangleright C}{\Gamma \vdash \#2 \ e: Y \triangleright C \cup \{\tau \equiv X \times Y\}} X, Y \text{ are fresh } L = X \land Y$ 

$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \triangleright C \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash \textit{inl}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} \; e : \tau_1 + \tau_2 \triangleright C \cup \{\tau \equiv \tau_1\} \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \triangleright C \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash \textit{inl}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} \; e : \tau_1 + \tau_2 \triangleright C \cup \{\tau \equiv \tau_2\} \end{array}$$

 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \triangleright C_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \triangleright C_2 \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau_3 \triangleright C_3}{\Gamma \vdash \textit{case } e_1 \textit{ of } e_2 \mid e_3 : Z \triangleright C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3 \cup \{\tau_1 \equiv X + Y, \tau_2 \equiv X \rightarrow Z, \tau_3 \equiv Y \rightarrow Z\}} X, Y, Z \textit{ are fresh}$ 

(b) Determine a set of constraints *C* and type  $\tau$  such that

$$\vdash \ \lambda x : A. \ \lambda y : B. \ (\#1 \ y) + (x \ (\#2 \ y)) + (x \ 2) \ : \tau \triangleright C$$

and give the derivation for it.

## Answer:

 $C = \{B \equiv X \times Y, X \equiv \textit{int}, B \equiv Z \times W, A \equiv W \rightarrow U, U \equiv \textit{int}, A \equiv \textit{int} \rightarrow V, V \equiv \textit{int}\} \\ \tau \equiv A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \textit{int}$ 

To see how we got these constraints, we will consider the subexpressions in turn (rather than trying to typeset a really really big derivation).

The expression  $\#1\ y$  requires us to add a constraint that the type of y (i.e., B) is equal to a product type for some fresh variables X and Y, thus constraint  $B \equiv X \times Y$ . (And expression  $\#1\ y$  has type X.)

The expression (#2 y) similarly requires us to add a constraint that the type of y (i.e., B) is equal to a product type for some fresh variables Z and W, thus constraint  $B \equiv Z \times W$ . (And expression #2 y has type W.)

The expression  $x \ (\#2 \ y)$  requires us to add a constraint that unifies the type of x (i.e., A) with a function type  $W \rightarrow U$  (where W is the type of  $\#2 \ y$  and U is a fresh type variable).

The expression x 2 requires us to add a constraint that unifies the type of x (i.e., A) with a function type **int**  $\rightarrow V$  (where **int** is the type of expression 2 and V is a fresh type).

The addition operations leads us to add constraints  $X \equiv int$ ,  $U \equiv int$ , and  $V \equiv int$  (i.e., the types of expressions  $(\#1 \ y)$ ,  $(x \ (\#2 \ y))$  and  $(x \ 2)$  must all unify with int.

(c) Recall the unification algorithm from Lecture 14. What is the result of unify(C) for the set of constraints C from Question 1(b) above?

**Answer:** *The result is a substitution equivalent to* 

 $[A \mapsto \textit{int} \rightarrow \textit{int}, B \mapsto \textit{int} \times \textit{int}, X \mapsto \textit{int}, Y \mapsto \textit{int}, Z \mapsto \textit{int}, W \mapsto \textit{int}, U \mapsto \textit{int}, V \mapsto \textit{int}]$