

Some background info for program synthesis

CS 252, Fall 2017

Topics

- Hoare logic
 - Reasoning about programs
 - Weakest precondition
 - See also lecture notes for CS152 in Spring 2014
 - https://www.seas.harvard.edu/courses/cs152/2014sp/
- Abstract interpretation
 - Approximating concrete execution
 - See lecture notes for CS252 in Spring 2011
 - <u>https://www.seas.harvard.edu/courses/cs252/2011sp/</u>
 - See also lecture notes for CS152 in Spring 2014
 - https://www.seas.harvard.edu/courses/cs152/2014sp/
- Model checking
 - See lecture notes for CS252 in Spring 2011

• <u>https://www.seas.harvard.edu/courses/cs252/2011sp/</u>

Axiomatic Semantics

- Key idea: give specifications for what programs are supposed to do
 - Define meaning of programs in terms of logical formulas satisfied by program
 - Enables reasoning about programs
- Pre- and post-condition: $\{Pre\} \ c \ \{Post\}$
 - Partial correctness: "If *Pre* holds before execution of *c*, and *c* terminates, then *Post* holds after *c*."
 - •(Total correctness: "If *Pre* holds before execution of *c* then c terminates and *Post* holds after *c*.")

Example

• Example

 $\{\mathsf{foo} = 0 \land \mathsf{bar} = i\} \mathsf{ baz} := 0; \mathbf{while} \mathsf{ foo} \neq \mathsf{bar} \mathbf{do} (\mathsf{baz} := \mathsf{baz} - 2; \mathsf{foo} := \mathsf{foo} + 1) \{\mathsf{baz} = -2i\}$

Non example

• { true } if foo < 0 then foo := -foo else skip { foo > 0 }

Hoare Logic Rules

SKIP
$$- + \{P\}$$
 skip $\{P\}$

$$\operatorname{ASSIGN} - \vdash \{P[a/x]\} \ x := a \ \{P\}$$

$$\operatorname{SEQ} \xrightarrow{\vdash \{P\}} c_1 \{R\} \qquad \vdash \{R\} c_2 \{Q\} \qquad \qquad \operatorname{IF} \frac{\vdash \{P \land b\} c_1 \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \{Q\}}$$

WHILE
$$\frac{\vdash \{P \land b\} c \{P\}}{\vdash \{P\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } c \{P \land \neg b\}}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{CONSEQUENCE} \xrightarrow{} \models (P \Rightarrow P') & \vdash \{P'\} \ c \ \{Q'\} & \models (Q' \Rightarrow Q) \\ & \vdash \{P\} \ c \ \{Q\} \end{array}$$

Hoare logic is sound and relatively complete

• No more incomplete that our language of assertions $\models P \Rightarrow Q$

Hoare Logic Rules

SKIP
$$- + \{P\}$$
 skip $\{P\}$

$$\operatorname{ASSIGN} - \vdash \{P[a/x]\} \ x := a \ \{P\}$$

$$\operatorname{SEQ} \frac{\vdash \{P\} c_1 \{R\}}{\vdash \{P\} c_1; c_2 \{Q\}} \qquad \operatorname{IF} \frac{\vdash \{P \land b\} c_1 \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \{Q\}}$$

WHILE
$$\frac{\vdash \{P \land b\} c \{P\}}{\vdash \{P\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } c \{P \land \neg b\}}$$

$$CONSEQUENCE \xrightarrow{\models (P \Rightarrow P')} \qquad \vdash \{P'\} \ c \ \{Q'\} \qquad \models (Q' \Rightarrow Q) \\ \qquad \qquad \vdash \{P\} \ c \ \{Q\}$$

 $\{\mathsf{foo} = 0 \land \mathsf{bar} = i\} \mathsf{ baz} := 0; \mathbf{while} \mathsf{ foo} \neq \mathsf{bar} \mathbf{do} (\mathsf{baz} := \mathsf{baz} - 2; \mathsf{foo} := \mathsf{foo} + 1) \{\mathsf{baz} = -2i\}$

Example

• Build a proof tree for the following:

 $\{\mathsf{foo} = 0 \land \mathsf{bar} = i\} \mathsf{ baz} := 0; \mathbf{while} \mathsf{ foo} \neq \mathsf{bar} \mathbf{do} (\mathsf{baz} := \mathsf{baz} - 2; \mathsf{foo} := \mathsf{foo} + 1) \{\mathsf{baz} = -2i\}$

Predicate transformation

- •We now have a logic to prove partial correctness triples {P} c {Q}
- Interesting question: Given Q and c, what is the weakest P such that {P} c {Q} ?
 - Weakest (liberal) pre-condition
 - E.g., Consider c = "a = int[50]; i = 0; while $(i < b) \{ ... \}; a[i]=0"$
 - •What is the weakest precondition P such that {P} c { $i \ge 50$ }? i.e., how do we trigger an overflow?

• Dual is *strongest post-condition*: given P and c, what is the strongest Q such that {P} c {Q} ?

Weakest pre-condition

- wp(c, Q) = P where P is the weakest condition such that {P} c {Q}
- wp(skip, Q) = Q
- wp(x := e, Q) = Q{e/x}
 - •e.g., wp(foo := bar+1, foo > 42) = (bar+1 > 42)
- •wp(c1;c2, Q) = wp(c1, wp(c2, Q))

• wp(if b then c1 else c2,Q) = $b \Rightarrow wp(c1, Q) \land \neg b \Rightarrow wp(c2, Q)$

Stephen Chong, Harvard University

Weakest pre-condition

- •wp(while b do c, Q) = ???
- In general undecidable
- Conservative under approximation: unroll loop
 - •wp'(while b do c, Q) =
 - wp(if (b) then (c;if(b) then c), $Q \land \neg b$)
 - i.e., approximate 0-2 executions of loop
 - •{P} while b do c {Q} is valid if $P \Rightarrow wp'(while b do c, Q)$
 - The converse if not necessarily true

Weakest pre-condition

- •wp(while b do c, Q) = ???
- Conservative under approximation: loop invariant
 - A loop invariant *I* is true at top of each loop iteration
 - •Loop invariant typically supplied by programmer, or use heuristics to guess

•wp'(while b do c, Q) =
$$I \land b \Rightarrow wp(c, I)$$

I is a loop invariant

 $\wedge (\neg b \land Q \lor \qquad loop won't execute \\ (I \land (I \land \neg b \Rightarrow Q)) \qquad Invariant holds$

and Q holds when loop exits

• Note this is weakest liberal precondition: it does not require termination